This article was downloaded by: On: 18 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

To cite this Article Paleologou, M. , Purdy, W. C. , Misra, S. K. and Korczak, S. Z.(1993) 'Evaluation of a Novel Dechlorination Reaction as an Analytically Useful Derivatization Reaction Part 1. Stoichiometry, Mechanism and Yield Optimization', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 50: 4, 215 — 242

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067319308027600 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067319308027600>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

EVALUATION OF A NOVEL DECHLORINATION REACTION AS *AN* **ANALYTICALLY USEFUL DERIVATIZATION REACTION PART 1. STOICHIOMETRY, MECHANISM AND YIELD OPTIMIZATION**

M. PALEOLOGOU* and W.C. PURDYt

Department of Chemistry, McGiN University, 801 Sherbrooke St. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3A 2K6

S.K. MISRA and S.Z. KORCZAK[‡]

Department of Physics, Concordia University, 14255 de Maisonneuve St. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H36 1M8

(Received, 14 April 1992; infinalfonn, 5 October 1992)

A novel reaction for the dechlorination of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) as well **as** most other chlorinated aromatic and aliphatic compounds is evaluated and discussed in terms of its potential for analytical purposes. The active dechlorinating agent is prepared through the reaction of molten **sodium** or potassium with polyethylene glycols *(MW* **>200),** in the absence of oxygen, to form the corresponding alkali metal glycolate, a powerful nucleophilic agent. Special emphasis is given to the mechanistic **aspects** of the reaction and their **importance** in terms of achieving high and reproducible yields with analytical quantities of substrate, in short periods of time. The contribution of the techniques: ESR, **NMR,** IR, *UV,* **MS,** GC-ECD, LC-EC, CIDNP-NMR, conductimetry and chloride analysis (amperometric) in elucidating the reaction mechanism and providing valuable kinetic **data** is illustrated. This reaction can potentially be applied to the determination of PCBs in waste oils.

KEY WORDS: Dechlorination, polychlorinated biphenyls, potassium glycolate, reaction mechanism, **ESR,** CIDNP-NMR.

Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Present **address:** Pulp & Paper Research Institute of Canada, **570** St. **John's** Blvd., Pointe Claire, **Quebec,** Canada, H9R 3J9.

^{*} Present **address:** Institute of Physics, **M.** Curie-Sklodowska Univ., PI. Curie-Sklodowska 1, **20-031,** Lublin, Poland.

INTRODUCTION

The most popular technique for the quantitation of aryl halides and in particular, PCBs, in environmental samples has been Gas Chromatography with Electron-Capture Detection (GC-ECD). Because, however, of the disproportionality of response of the ECD detector' and many real-world complexities such as sampling, contamination in the laboratory, extraction, clean-up, organochlorine interferences, non-metabolic and metabolic alterations, original composition and separation, the choice of a suitable reference standard (nearest commercially available PCB formulation) is often a difficult one, and consequently the reported single value for total PCB content may be both inaccurate and misleading. By utilizing a peak-by-peak area comparison approach' rather than the total pattern concept, the reliability of results obtained by GC-ECD can be significantly improved; even in this case, however, some overlapping or coincident peaks still occur.

In an effort to minimize separation and interference problems while enhancing sensitivity and speed of analysis, some researchers turned their attention to the development of alternate approaches to analysis involving measurement of a single species rather than a mixture. These approaches can be classified into two major categories of derivatization reactions: perchlorination and dechlorination reactions. Perchlorination has been promoted by a number of investigators³⁻⁵ mostly because of the enhanced sensitivity that is obtained when all PCB isomers are converted to decachlorobiphenyl (DCB). Despite the many advantages of perchlorination, however, some errors may still occur **as** a result of the conversion of sample constituents other than PCBs, into either DCB or other species with similar retention characteristics to those of DCB. A number of analytical derivatization procedures based on dechlorination have been developed over the last thirty years. These include: dechlorination over a heated catalyst, in a stream of hydrogen gas (hydrodechlorination), to obtain the respective parent hydrocarbons^{6,7}; carbon-skeleton GC^{8,9} for the quantitative conversion of PCBs into biphenyl on a partly deactivated palladium catalyst; and catalytic on-column $dechlorination^{10,11}$. It must be stressed here, however, that these dechlorination procedures did not gain widespread acceptance because the preparation of **a** suitable catalyst does not appear to be a very simple task. In 1979, a dechlorination procedure was reported¹² which combined dechlorination with LiAlH4 with column liquid chromatography using *UV* detection. De Kok *et al.* **l3** further improved this procedure and applied it to the analysis of a wide range of environmental samples. These investigators maintain that their modified and simplified version of the original procedure'2 is **as** easy to perform **as** perchlorination and therefore, it is suitable for routine application in the analytical laboratory.

The purpose of this work has been to develop a simple dechlorination procedure for aryl chlorides that satisfied most criteria for an analytically useful derivatization reaction and compared well with other previously reported approaches. In order to develop such a procedure, the literature was searched for relatively new approaches for breaking the carbon-chlorine bond in aryl halides, outside the realm of mainstream analytical and/or organic chemistry. It was found for example that, in the last twenty years, a number of novel approaches have been developed for the dechlorination of PCBs as part of an all-out effort to come up with hazardous waste disposal techniques for a number of chemicals. Examples of such dechlorination approaches include: incineration at high temperatures

in the absence¹⁴ or presence¹⁵ of molten salt catalysts, thermal oxidation in fluidized beds¹⁶, electron treatment¹⁷, UV irradiation in the presence of hydrogen¹⁸, chlorinolysis¹⁹, o zonation²⁰, and treatment with an aqueous solution of hypophosphite in the presence of a palladium catalyst²¹. Chemical decomposition of highly chlorinated aromatic compounds such as PCBs and DDT has been also achieved using very strong reducing agents²² such as BH4 and alkali metals dissolved in very *dry* liquid ammonia or other media. Sodium naphthalenide was used²³, for example, to convert PCBs to a chlorine-free organic material product. **A** number of other dechlorination methods based on alkali metal reagents has also been suggested. The alkali metals lithium, sodium or potassium, in elementary metallic form have been effective in dechlorinating PCB molecules²⁴⁻²⁶. Most of these reactions, however, present too many problems before and after the reaction to qualify as analytically useful reactions. Drawbacks include: limited breadth of reactivity, expensive reagents, complex apparatus, energy intensiveness, absence of analytically useful reaction products, extremely inert atmospheres, extensive temperature controls, etc.

In the early eighties Pytlewski *et al.*^{27,28} reported a dechlorination reaction that, in principle, appeared to satisfy most widely accepted criteria of an analytically useful derivatization reaction. These are: simplicity, high speed, reproducibility, high yield, low cost, safety and no matrix interferences²⁹. The present work attempts to evaluate the Pytlewski reaction, further described below, as an analytically useful derivatization reaction. For this purpose, three model compounds were chosen: chlorobenzene, 1,2,3,4 tetrachlorobenzene and hexachlorobenzene. Using these model compounds, the Pytlewski reaction was studied using a number of techniques. These are: Electron Spin Resonance Spectroscopy (ESR), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy *(NMR),* Infra-red Spectroscopy (IR), Mass Spectrometry (MS), Gas Chromatography with Electron Capture Detection (GC-ECD), Cyclic Voltammetry (CV), Liquid Chromatography with Electrochemical Detection (LC-EC), Chemically-induced Dynamic Nuclear Polarization - Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (CIDNP-NMR), Conductimetry and Chloride analysis. First, this paper examines the effect of various parameters on dechlorination reaction yield and subsequently it addresses a number of fundamental questions relating to the mechanism of the reaction under examination. As will be shown in this paper, a number of major and minor discrepancies were found relating to the results reported by Pytlewski et *al.27*28.* Based on the findings of **this** study, an analytical procedure was developed for the determination of PCBs in waste oils; this work is described in a subsequent paper in this issue.

The Pytlewski dechlorination reaction

The Pytlewski reaction was developed for the purpose of providing **an** inexpensive method for disposing of waste PCB mixtures and other chlorinated environmental contaminants. As part of the reaction mechanism a number of steps were suggested by Pytlewski et al.^{27,28} which can be summarized in two main steps.

Step 1: Preparation of active reagent

$$
Na + O2 + H - O - C - C - OR \rightarrow NaO - C - C - OR + NaO2 + H2 + heat
$$
 (Rxn 1)
\n
$$
H H H O C - C - C - OR \rightarrow NaO - C - C - OR + NaO2 + H2 + heat
$$
 (Rxn 1)
\n
$$
O
$$

\nI

Step 2: Dechlorination reaction

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{H} & \text{H} & \text{O} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \mid & \text{O} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \mid & \text{O} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \mid & \text{O} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} \\
\mid & \text{O} & \text{H} & \text{H} & \text{H} &
$$

In step 1 Pytlewski *et al.*²⁸ suggested that "rapid oxygen uptake is occurring at PEG ether linkages through insertion between sodium-carbon bonds". It is further suggested that "a variety of free radicals are generated of which one combines with oxygen to produce species I, designated **as** the sodium glycolate superoxide radical" which is presumed to be the active dechlorinating agent in step 2.

EXPERIMENTAL

Reaction system and procedure

All reactions were carried out in variations of a reaction system which consisted of a three-neck round bottom flask one neck of which was equipped with a reflux condenser; the other two openings were closed with glass stoppers or rubber septa. The reaction vessel was immersed in an oil bath and the temperature was controlled through a SybrowThermolyne thermostat. The solutions were stirred with a magnetic stirring bar, at a constant rate, at all times. The reactions were carried out under nitrogen or air while maintaining open conditions at all times in order to avoid any pressure build-up. The gases were dried by passing through a column of anhydrous calcium sulphate particles (Drierite). The gas flow to the reaction vessel and pressure therein (atmospheric) were controlled by means of a pressure gauge on the gas cylinder and through a T-junction, placed after the drying tube, with one line going to the reaction vessel and the other to a gas bubbler. The reacting solution was composed of PEG (typical MWs: 200–600), previously dried over activated molecular sieves type 3A (8-12 mesh), and metallic sodium or potassium. The molecular sieves were activated by

placing them in an oven, equipped with a vacuum line and held at 300° C, overnight. The active reagent was prepared by reacting PEG and metallic sodium or potassium at a temperature slightly higher than their melting points (98°C and 63.5"C, respectively). Kinetic and other samples were collected at regular time intervals by withdrawing aliquots through the septa using a BLOC syringe. For all kinetic studies the reaction temperature was held at 110°C. Before each experiment all glassware were cleaned using a procedure developed by Bevenue *et aL3'.*

Analysis of reaction products

IR spectra were recorded using a Varian spectrophotometer. Reaction samples were **run** neat by placing one or two small drops of the sample between two highly polished pieces of cell material (KBr). Mass spectrometric data were obtained using a Dupont Instruments Model 2 l492B mass spectrometer. *UV* spectra were recorded using a Cary Model 2 10 spectrophotometer. Kinetic samples of the reaction of PEG with sodium (or potassium) and oxygen for UV analysis were diluted by $231x$ with PEG in order to reduce their absorbance to conveniently measurable levels. Conductance measurements were made using a Beckman Instruments conductivity bridge. Testing for the superoxide free radical was performed using a procedure described elsewhere³¹. ESR spectra were recorded on a Varian V4502 X-band spectrometer in the Dept. of Physics, Concordia University. The magnetic field was measured using a Bruker Gaussmeter Model B-NM20. Measurements were made with reference to 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazine ($g = 2.0036$), used as a standard for calibrating the instrument. The sample vials were stoppered using Serum septa. Samples for ESR analysis were withdrawn from the reaction mixture using a **0.5 mL** syringe capable of penetrating through the reaction vessel septa and the sample vial septa. 10μ 1 of reaction product was delivered to the sample cavity of the ESR spectrometer using a 20-µ Eppendorf pipette. The precision demonstrated by this instrument was $\pm 1\%$ for samples of the same concentration analyzed on the same day. *NMR* and CIDNP-NMR proton spectra were recorded on an XL-200 NMR instrument at room temperature. Samples for analysis using this technique were prepared by dissolving the products of the reaction between PEG and sodium (or potassium) and oxygen, in deuterated benzene.

The disappearance of chlorinated organic compounds during the dechlorination reaction was followed using GC-ECD. A Varian Model 3700 Gas Chromatograph equipped with an ECD was employed under the following conditions: column: $6 \text{ ft} \times 0.25 \text{ inch}$, $3\% \text{ OVI01}$ (glass) on Chromosorb W (H/P) 80/100 mesh; carrier gas: nitrogen; flow rate: 60 ml/min; injection temperature: 270°C; ECD temperature: 300°C; column temperature: 185°C; injection volume: $2\mu l$, sensitivity: 10^{-2} range. In this kinetic study, aliquots were collected at various time intervals during the reaction (1 ml) and these were diluted in PEG 400 (10 ml) ml). 1 ml of these solutions was diluted in 4 ml of water (overall dilution factor $= 110$). The latter solutions were extracted with 10 ml of cyclohexane and diluted, **as** needed, for analysis in the dynamic range of the GC-ECD method.

The analysis for phenolic compounds in the dechlorination reaction products was performed using a liquid chromatographic method employing a β -cyclodextrin bondedphase column connected to a UV and/or a thin-layer amperometric detector³². The HPLC

220 M. PALEOLOGOU *et al.*

system consisted of a Waters Model *590* pump, a Rheodyne Model 7125 injector with a 100-pl loop, and a Model Spectroflow 773 variable wavelength **W-VIS** detector equipped with a 8-µl cell. The UV detector was set at 280 nm at which wavelength most phenols present a maximum in absorbance. The voltage between the reference and working electrodes of the electrochemical detector was set at **1 .O V.** The mobile phase was composed of methanol, water mixtures buffered with triethylamine acetate and it was degassed by bubbling nitrogen into it before use. The chromatograms were recorded using a Microhm Model **SE** 120 strip-chart recorder. The column temperature was controlled through a Heto Model water bath. The flow rate was 1.0 ml/min and the column back-pressure at this flow rate varied from 2000-3000 psig. All standard compounds and reaction samples were dissolved in solutions of the same composition as the mobile phase. Typically 5-20 μ l of solutions of these standard reference compounds was injected. A Waters C_{18} , 250 \times 4.6 mm (Zorbax) column was employed whenever the separation of reaction products (reaction between PEGs, sodium and oxygen) was required. In the latter case, a mobile phase composed of a 955, buffer:PEG mixture was used. The buffer solution was prepared **as** 0.2 M in sodium perchlorate and 5.0×10^{-3} M trisodium citrate adjusted to pH 5.0 with glacial acetic acid. The system described above was also employed for the quantitation of an electroactive species (hydrogen peroxide) produced after the reaction products were allowed to react with water or acid.

Reaction yields were evaluated by measuring the amount of chloride produced using an argentometric amperometric titration 33 . The apparatus consisted of a rotating platinum electrode connected to a saturated calomel electrode through a current measuring device. The PAR model 173 was used for applying a potential between the two electrodes and the PAR model 179 for converting cell current to a voltage which was put out to a strip-chart recorder. The electrode was rotated at a speed of about 200 rpm. Using this chloride analysis procedure the reproducibility of the dechlorination reaction yields was found to range from 0.5-2% depending on the substrate and/or the conditions used. As will be shown later on in this paper, the dechlorination reaction yields for a given compound were not significantly affected by changes in such experimental variables **as** sodium concentration, molecular weight of PEG, substrate concentration, temperature and the duration of the dechlorination reaction.

PEGs, metallic sodium and potassium, triethylamine and **NBT** chloride monohydrate were obtained from Aldrich. A bovine erythrocyte superoxide dismutase enzyme preparation was obtained from Sigma. HPLC-grade methanol and sodium perchlorate were purchased from Fisher. Trisodium citrate was obtained from Mallinckrodt. Water was deionized by passing distilled water through a Barnstead water purification system. All chlorinated and non-chlorinated standards were purchased from Chem Service.

RESULTS *AND* DISCUSSION

Reaction yields and stoichiometry

Analytically useful derivatization **reactions** are usually specialized, synthetic, organic chemical reactions. The main difference between these and other chemical reactions is that they are done on a microscale. As mentioned earlier, from an analytical point of view it is important to achieve high and reproducible yields in a derivatization reaction in order to enhance sensitivity and improve precision. In order to enhance reaction yields, the effect of various parameters on reaction yield was examined. These are: sodium concentration, molecular weight of PEG, substrate concentration, temperature, duration of free radical preparation reaction, duration of dechlorination reaction, nature of the substrate, moisture content of PEG and continuous addition of sodium and/or PEG. Unless otherwise specified all reactions referred to in this section were carried out under oxygen (exposed to air) with **1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobemene as** the substrate.

Eflect of sodium concentration The effect of sodium concentration on reaction yield was examined for the concentration range of 20 to 60 *g/l.* The latter concentration of sodium is the maximum that can be attained in PEG 400 and corresponds to the stoichiometric amount of sodium that can react with PEG in the following reaction duringthe solubilization process:

 $Na + HOR \rightarrow NaOR + 1/2 H_2 + heat$ $(Rxn 3)$ where $R = (CH₂CH₂O)_nH$ and $n = 9$ for PEG 400.

As shown in Table 1, the concentration of sodium does not seem to affect reaction yields **as** long **as** it is in a stoichiometric excess compared to the reaction substrate. **A** dechlorination reaction yield of about **42%** was obtained for all concentrations of sodium in the reaction medium with **1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobenzene as** the substrate.

Sodium Concentration (g/l)	Reaction Yield (%)	
20	42.1	
40	43.8	
60	42.9	
> 60	42.5	

Table **1** Effect of sodium concentration on dechlorination reaction yield.

Reaction conditions were **as** follows: Substrate:

1,2,3,4-tetrachlombenzene, Substrate concentration: **140 pg/ml,** *MW* of

PEG: 400 g/mole, Temperature: 120°C, Duration of free radical

preparation reaction: **36** min, Duration of dechlorination reaction: **65** min.

Effect of molecular weight of PEG PEGs constitute the reaction solvent and, furthermore, are intimately involved in the preparation of the active dechlorinating agent. In the molecular

Figure 1 Sodium **glycolate crown-ether type of structure with** internal ion **pairing.**

weight range of 200-600 no significant effect on the reaction yield was observed. With molecular weights, however, less than 200, a decrease in reaction yields was observed. This observation fist suggested that a sodium-PEG complex (crown-ether **type)** may be involved as **an** active reagent in the reaction **(see** Figure 1) Such complexes have **been** shown to exist by a number of investigators³⁴⁻³⁶. Polyglycol dimethyl ethers or glymes, for example, of the general formula CH₃O(CH₂CH₂O)_xCH₃, have been shown to form solvent separated ion pairs with a number of alkali metal salts (contact ion pairs). Their ability to complex with alkali metal ions was found to depend on the number of available co-ordination sites. For sodium-diglyme complexes it was shown that the complexation constants reach a maximum when the number of oxygens in the diglyme is *5.* **This** was interpreted to suggest that a maximum of five oxygen atoms **(this** corresponds to a *MW* of 200 for PEG) co-ordinate with one sodium ion. **As** the chain length increases beyond glyme-5, the complexation constant is expected to further increase for purely statistical reasons³⁵. In fact, it is even higher than what can be predicted using statistical arguments and it accelerates for glymes of longer chain lengths. It appears that there may be certain preferred conformations in the longer glymes which **are** favourable for cation complexation.

Eflect of the substrate concentration It was found that no significant change in reaction yield occurs in the concentration range between $135-4360 \mu g/ml$ substrate while yields decline for higher concentrations. These data suggested that for substrate concentrations less than **4360** pg/ml the active reagent is in sufficient excess to cany the reaction to the **42%** reaction yield level. Assuming that the reaction is:

$$
4 \text{ NaOR} + \text{ArCl}_4 \rightarrow \text{Ar}(\text{OR})_4 + 4 \text{ NaCl} \tag{Rxn 4}
$$

then **4360** pg/ml of substrate is close to the stoichiometric limit of the reaction. When the concentration of substrate is further increased the reaction yield drops thus suggesting the possibility that Rxn **4** occurs as written. These data first suggested that NaOR may be the active dechlorinating agent.

Effect of temperature In the temperature range of 120–230°C no significant effect of temperature on reaction yields was observed. It should be mentioned, however, that below 98°C (melting point of sodium) the reaction was very slow.

Effect of duration of free radical preparation reaction One would expect that the longer the duration of the free radical preparation reaction (see Rxn 1) as perceived by Pytlewski et *al.*^{27,28}, more active reagent and hence higher reaction yields would have been obtained. This was not the case, however. In fact, to a small extent, the opposite effect was observed that is a decrease in reaction yield. This evidence suggested for the first time that species I, (see Rxn 1) if it indeed exists, may not actually be the active dechlorinating reagent **as** suggested by Pytlewski *et al.*^{27,28}.

Effect of the duration of the dechlorination reaction The time allowed for the reaction between **1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobemene** and the active reagent **("sodium** glycolate superoxide radical") had no significant effect on the reaction yield (see Rxn 2 **as** perceived by Pytlewski et al.²⁷). This was considered as additional evidence that species I (see Rxn 1) may not be the active reagent, since one would expect ascending yields with increasing reaction times.

Eflect of the nature of the substrate The effect of the nature of the substrate on reaction yield is seen in Table 2. Chlorobenzenes (mono-, tri-, tetra-, hexa-) give yields that are around the **45%** level with a small decline observed as the number of chlorines on the ring is reduced. Pentachlorophenol is dechlorinated, as well, at about the **45%** level suggesting that the **-OH** group presumably does not have any apparent effect on the dechlorination reaction in terms of deactivating the ring or in some other way. Hexachlorocyclohexane (lindane) is dechlorinated with a yield of **65.4%,** demonstrating the applicability of the reaction to aliphatic compounds and furthermore suggesting that aliphatic compounds may be easier dechlorinated.

Effect of the moisture content of PEG **An** analysis for water in PEG 400 using the Karl Fischer reagent revealed the presence of 0.172% water. In order to remove all moisture from the reaction system, PEG was dried with molecular sieves 3A and the reaction was performed under air from a tank that was dried by passing through a column of anhydrous calcium sulphate particles (Drierite).

The above measures improved reaction yields from the **40%** level to the **60%** level even

Chlorinated Compound	Concentration $(\mu$ g/ml)	Dechlorination Yield (%)
chlorobenzene	115	35.5
1,2-dichlorobenzene	150	40.3
1.4-dichlorobenzene	138	42.3
1.3.5-trichlorobenzene	132	43.1
1.2.4-trichlorobenzene	95	42.8
1,2,3,4-tetrachlorobenzene	250	44.0
1,2,4,5-tetrachlorobenzene	243	44.3
hexachlorobenzene	303	46.6
Aroclor 1260	438	50.2
pentachlorophenol	109	44.7
hexachlorocyclohexane	234	65.4

Table **2 Effect** of the nature of the substrate *on* dechlorination reaction yields.

Reaction conditions were **as** follows: *MW* of **PEG:** 200 @mole, **Sodium** concentration: 60 g/l, Temperature: 120°C, Duration of free radical preparation reaction: 30-42 min, Duration of dechlorination reaction: 60-70 **min.**

though the reproducibility of the reaction suffered somewhat. The significant improvement in reaction yields due to the elimination of water warranted a more thorough investigation for establishing the role of water in the reaction. Figure **2** shows the IR spectrum of **PEG 400** as well **as** that of the reagent produced after the reaction of **PEG** with sodium in the presence of atmospheric oxygen. A full description of the features of **this** spectrum in terms of the identification of the various absorption bands is given elsewhere³⁷. The only difference

Figure **2 IR** spectra of **PEG 400** (curve **A) and** products of the reaction of **PEG** with sodium and oxygen (curve B). *An* absorption band *at* 1635 *an-'* (due *to* **HzO) is** the only difference between A and **B.**

in the spectra of these two reagents is the absorption peak at **1635** cm-' which is due to water **as** can be easily confirmed from the literature or the spectrum obtained after the addition of water to PEG. It appears that water is produced through the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide which, **as** will be shown later on in **this** paper, is one of the products of the reaction between PEG, sodium and oxygen. The decomposition reaction is³⁸:

$$
2 H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2 H_2O + O_2 \tag{Rxn 5}
$$

The band observed at **1635** cm" is not due to any *0-0* skeletal vibration, that might have arisen from any peroxides or hydroperoxides that may have formed, since **this** absorption is expected to occur at 877 cm⁻¹ ³⁹. It should also be mentioned that both peroxide and superoxide show no characteristic sharp bands this being consistent with the theory that both of these ions are homopolar, and thus their vibrations are infra-red inactive⁴⁰. At this point it was thought that it may be possible to eliminate water, **as** it is produced, by adding excess sodium at the beginning of the reaction since sodium rapidly reacts with water to give sodium hydroxide and hydrogen in the reaction:

$$
Na + H2O \rightarrow NaOH + \frac{1}{2}H2
$$
 (Rxn 6)

As shown in Table **1,** however, excess sodium does not improve reaction yields.

Efect of the continuous addition ofsodium on reaction yield After the above attempt failed to increase reaction yields beyond the 60% level, the question was raised whether the active reagent is being destroyed during the reaction or whether an inhibitor forms before the reaction reaches completion. It was, therefore, decided to keep on adding sodium or sodium and PEG to the reaction mixture gradually, while the reaction was taking place, thus assuring the continued presence of the presumed active reagent. *As* shown in Table 3 the reaction yields under these conditions surpassed the **80%** level. The reaction appeared most successful when both sodium and PEG were added at the same time (see entry 3, Table **3).**

Mode of Addition of Sodium	Reaction Yield (%)
1. sodium added in three portions	81.5
$2.$ same as 1	83.2
3. sodium and PEG added gradually	85.1

Table 3 Effect of the gradual addition of sodium or sodium/PEG on **dechlorination** reaction yield.

Reaction conditions were **as** follows: Substrate: 1,2,3,4 tetrachlorobenzene, Substrate concentration: 1200-1500 μ g/ml, MW of PEG: 400 g/mole, Sodium concentration: 40 g/l, Temperature: 180°C, **Duration** of dechlorination reaction: **180** min.

Mechanistic studies

In order to gain a better understanding of the reactions discussed above, with a view to achieving high and reproducible dechlorination yields, an attempt was made to elucitate the reaction mechanism involved. The mechanistic studies were done with potassium and not **sodium** since the reaction is faster with the former and also because only $KO₂$ (potassium superoxide), which was used for confirmation purposes, was found to be commercially available.

1s a flee radical produced during the reaction?

In addressing this question ESR was used for the following reasons:

- 1. to establish whether any free radicals are involved and/or participate in the reaction **as** suggested by Pytlewski *et aL2',*
- 2. to determine the structure of the molecule at the **free** radical site by examining the hyperfine splitting of the absorption band(s),
- 3. to study the kinetics of the reaction producing the "active" free radical,
- 4. to study the kinetics of the reaction of the "active" free radical with various chlorinated substrates,
- *5.* to examine the stability of the **free** radical under various conditions.

Figure 3 shows three ESR spectra of the reaction products demonstrating that a free radical is produced by the reaction of potassium and PEG in the presence of air (oxygen). The ESR signal for this free radical, centred at about **3307.3** G, corresponds to a g-value of 2.0064, which is a measure of the effective magnetic moment ofthe unpaired electron. Since no hyperfine structure, which indicates the strength of the magnetic interaction between the unpaired electron and each magnetic nucleus in its vicinity, is observed in **this** spectrum, the possibility of having a carbon-based free radical or the hydroxyl (\cdot OH) or perhydroxyl (HO_2) free radicals was ruled out (a carbon-based free radical was implied by Pytlewski *et al.*²⁷ in the reactions leading to the formation of the "sodium glycolate superoxide fiee radical"). It **was** not a peroxide-type radical of the type **R-00.** or a superoxide complex or adduct free radical since the tertiary peroxide-type radicals possess g-values at about $2.014⁴¹$. Moreover, tertiary peroxide-type radicals, in solution, exhibit rather broad linewidths, due to a large spin-rotation interaction⁴¹ unlike the spectrum of Figure 3 which demonstrates a rather narrow signal. Further, the primary and secondary peroxides do not exhibit ESR signals in solution⁴¹. The only possibility left is that of a PEG-potassium superoxide complex. That, this is indeed the case, is discussed below.

Optimization of the production of free radical

In order to optimize the production of **this** supposedly "active" reagent, a kinetic experiment was performed using ESR to monitor the generation of the spin-active species. In this experiment, samples were taken from the reaction at various time intervals, cooled in ice to quench the reaction, and then subjected to ESR analysis. As shown in Figure **4,** no ESR signal is obtained in the first 20 minutes probably because the main reaction happening is:

Figure 3 X-band (9.42 *GHz)* **ESR spectra of products of** reaction **of PEG** with potassium **and oxygen. An absorption signal is observed centred at 3307.3** *G* corresponding **to a g-value of 2.0064. The spectra were obtained on a Varian V4502 X-band spectrometer. Conditions:** *AH* = **;pm 1250 G, Sweep Time** = **2.5 min, Modulation** Amplitude = 8×100 ; sample volume = 10μ l; temperature = ambient (295°K).

 $(Rxn 3)$

Rxn 3 is likely to be taking place since a gas was seen evolving, heat was produced, potassium was progressively consumed, and the conductance of the solution increased for as long **as** potassium was seen floating on the reaction mixture. In addition, the colour of the solution became yellow-brown during **this** period. The colour of the solution may be due to another reaction occurring simultaneously:

Figure **4** Kinetic **study** of **the** reaction **of** PEG 400 **(75 ml)** with **metallic potassiutu (3.0 g) and oxygen** (air, **gauge pressure: 15 psig) using ESR; reaction temperature: 110°C; conditions for ESR measurements are as those described** in **the** caption of Figure 3. **The ordinate represents the peak-to-peak height of the first-derivative** ESR **spectrum.**

$$
120^{\circ}\text{C}
$$

K + HOR \rightarrow K⁺ $\bar{\bullet}$ (HO-R) (yellow brown) (Rxn 7)

Rxn 7 appears to occur even in the absence of oxygen, which suggests that the colour is not due to a reduced form of oxygen or any oxidation product of PEG. A reaction similar to Rxn 7 was postulated by Matsulevich and Sokolov⁴² as the first stage in the oxidation of alkali metals to superoxide in organic solvents (diglymes) catalyzed by aromatic compounds. These investigators also suggested that the existence of an induction period in such reactions can be explained by the topochemical character of the reaction for the formation of radical anions. The reaction **starts** at the most active centres (comers, faces) of the metal surface, gradually spreading to the whole. With the increase in the "working" surface, the formation of the radical **anions** of the aromatic compound is accelerated. **When this** process occurs over the whole surface of the metal, the **rate** of the oxidation of the latter becomes maximal. The rate-determining step in this oxidation is the formation of radical anions, which goes on the metal surface. After the first twenty minutes (duration of induction period), however, **an** increasing ESR signal is observed most probably due to the reaction of potassium glycolate radical **anion** (see Rxn 7) with oxygen to produce an ESR-active species; this appears to be a crown-ether-type of complex between PEG and potassium superoxide **as** illustrated in reactions Rxns 8 and *9:*

$$
K^+ \tilde{\bullet} (HOR) + O_2 \rightarrow HOR + KO_2 \qquad (Rxn 8)
$$

$$
HOR + KO2 \rightarrow K+ HOR \t(Rx n 9)
$$

(solvent-separated ion pair complex) (Rx n 9)

Figure 5 Successive W **spectra of products of the reaction** between **PEG 400 with potassium and oxygen (air) during the first 105 minutes ofthe reaction; the measurements were made using a** Cary **Model 210 spectrophotom**eter; reaction temperature: 110°C. A slight shift in the absorption maximum is observed with increasing concen**tration of the absorbing species.**

The **IR** as well as the *NMR* spectra of the reaction products are identical (except for the presence of water) with the corresponding IR and *NMR* spectra for PEG, suggesting that this molecule has not been chemically altered in any significant way, **as** would be expected from **Rxns** 8 and 9.

The increase in ESR signal continued for the first 120 minutes, that is for about **as** long as potassium was seen floating on the reaction mixture, and thereafter a slight decrease was observed. Similar behaviour was observed when the same kinetic samples were used for W analysis at 250 nm. Figure 5 shows a number of successive W spectra for samples obtained during the reaction. The absorption maximum is about 260 nm; similar maxima were also observed by other investigators⁴³ for transient $O_2 \cdot \overline{\bullet}$ in aqueous solutions (λ_{max} =240 nm) and in dimethyl sulphoxide in the presence of the complexing agent 18-crown-6 at ambient temperature $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 260 \text{ nm})^{44}$. The absorptivity of superoxide at the wavelength of maximum absorption has been reported to be 2580 ± 500 M⁻¹cm⁻¹⁴⁴ depending on the conditions under which the spectrum was taken. The absorption maximum of HO_2 on the other hand, obtained from the pulse radiolysis of oxygen-saturated sodium formate solutions ($pH = 2$), occurs at about 230 nm and the corresponding absorptivity at this wavelength was about 1250 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ ⁴³. The pK_a of the HO₂ $\overline{\bullet}$ free radical has been reported to be 4.9 ± 0.1⁴³ which means that for pH values greater than 5.9 this radical would be almost completely in its dissociated form $(O_2 \rightarrow)$. It appears that the superoxide free radical is quite long-lived at high pH. It has been reported⁴³ that at pH = 13 a concentration of O_2 ^{\rightarrow} as high as 10⁴ M has been generated in water by giving several pulses of radiation to the sample. In **PEGS** andor potassium glycolates the lifetime of this species is, therefore, expected to be quite long.

A slight shift in the absorption maximum of the UV spectrum was observed with increasing concentration of the absorbing species (see Figure 5). This **shift** may be taken as a suggestive evidence for the formation of an inclusion-type complex between potas-

230 M. PALEOLOGOU *et al.*

sium superoxide and PEG (see Rxn *9).* Similar behaviour was observed by other investigators³⁴⁻³⁶ who studied the complexation of lithium, sodium and potassium carbanion pairs (flourenyl) with polyglycol dimethyl ethers (glymes) by means of optical and NMR spectroscopies. These investigators observed, for example, that the contact ion pair F , M^+ and the glyme-separated ion pair F \cdot , G, M^+ have distinctly different absorption maxima.

A number of reactions can be postulated for the slight decline in ESR and W signals observed when potassium is no longer visible in the reaction mixture. These are:

$$
HOR + KO2 \rightarrow KOR + HO2.
$$
 (Rxn 10)

$$
HO_2 \t+ HO_2 \t+ O_2 + O_2 \t\t (Rxn 11)
$$

$$
2 KO2 + H2O \rightarrow KHO2 + KOH + O2
$$
 (Rxn 12)

The decline in ESR and W signals is significantly more pronounced when sodium instead of potassium is used. This difference in behaviour between these two alkali metals may be due to potassium binding being much stronger as compared to sodium binding.

Hydrogen peroxide, itself, is a weak acid, $pK_a = 11.65^{45}$. Morgan *et al.*⁴⁶ measured molar absorptivities for H_2O_2 and HO_2 at a number of wavelengths between 200 and 300 nm from absorbance vs concentration plots. They found that at **250** nm the absorptivity for hydrogen peroxide is 22.7 ± 0.5 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ whereas for the hydroperoxyl anion the absorptivity is 277.7 **^f8.3 M'** cm-' at this same wavelength. Given the **high** alkalinity of the reaction mixture it is expected that any peroxide that is produced in the reaction would be in the hydroperoxyl form. Since the absorptivity of this species is lower **than** that of the superoxide radical, the W absorbance is reduced after the metallic potassium is consumed. Moreover, the ESR signal is also reduced, since the hydroperoxyl **anion** is not ESR active. Rxn **8** portrays the reduction of **Oz** to the superoxide free radical anion by the sodium glycolate radical anion produced in Rxn 7. Other investigators^{42,47} have also shown that oxygen can indeed be reduced to superoxide in a variety of ways. **In** principle, any substance with a reduction potential more negative than **-0.5** V (n.h.e.) (Gibbs-Stockholm convention) should be capable of reducing molecular oxygen to O_2 ⁷.

Is superoxide the free radical produced by the reaction?

In order to further substantiate whether the superoxide free radical is indeed produced by the reaction of PEG, potassium and oxygen the reaction mixture was tested for superoxide by employing a commonly used assay for superoxide which involves the reduction of nitrotetrazolium blue (NBT) to its diformazan, which can be monitored by visible spectroscopy³¹. For this purpose the visible spectra of the following solutions were taken:

- 1. A solution in DMSO of $KO₂$ in the presence of NBT.
- **2.** A solution in DMSO of reaction products **in** the presence of **NBT.**
- **3.** A solution in DMSO of the diformazan of NBT.

Figure 6 ESR spectra of (a) a solution of pure KOz in PEG 400 in the absence of *oxygen,* (5) **the same mixture as in (a) after having been heated to** 7OoC **in the absence of oxygen. An absorption signal was observed** centred **at** 3307.3 **G, corresponding to a g-value of 2.0064.**

An examination of these spectra revealed that the spectrum for the reaction products matches the other two spectra suggesting the presence of $O_2 \cdot \text{or}$ other reducing free radicals. That the radical in question is O_2 ^{$\bar{ }$} and not another reducing free radical was confirmed through the use of the superoxide specific enzyme superoxide dismutase 31 ; in its presence, NBT was not reduced to its corresponding diformazan.

That superoxide is indeed produced by the reaction of PEGS, potassium and oxygen was also verified by reacting pure potassium superoxide with PEG in the absence of oxygen. **As** seen in Figure 6a, a solution of $KO₂$ in PEG at room temperature gave no ESR signal. This is to be expected since both the hydroxy and superoxide radicals do not produce ESR signals in the liquid phase because of their orbital degeneracy⁴⁸. However, on heating the reaction mixture, **an** ESR signal was obtained (see Figure 6b) with exactly the same g-value **as** that for the free radical obtained by the reaction of PEG with sodium or potassium in the presence of oxygen. This signal suggests the possible reaction:

 $KO₂ + HOR \rightarrow K⁺ HOR \bar{O}₂$

(Rxn *9)*

(solvent separated ion pair complex)

A crown ether-type complex is postulated **as** the reaction product in Rxn 9 which stabilizes the superoxide free radical and makes it ESR-active.

Further to the elucitation of the mechanism of the reaction in question, the kinetics of the reaction between PEG and KO₂ was studied using ESR. This was done by taking samples at various time intervals during the reaction and subjecting them to ESR analysis. The reaction was carried out under argon at 70°C. A linear increase in ESR signal with time was observed. Considering that a given quantity of $KO₂$ is expected to give rise to a proportional ESR signal, it was thought that it may be possible to construct a calibration curve by heating various solutions of $KO₂$ of different concentrations. The problem with quantitating superoxide using this approach, however, was that the signal-to-noise ratio for the ESR signals was quite low for a given quantity of $KO₂$ added. In the experiment cited above, the $KO₂$ concentration was **as high as 1.25** M. Given the low detection limits of ESR spectroscopy, it was thought that a relatively large amount of superoxide is being transformed into a species that is not electron-spin active. **A** possibility that was investigated was the abstraction by the superoxide of a proton from either water or PEG (terminal OH groups) to form the species HOZ ; (see Rxns **10** and **12). This** hypothesis was tested by adding water **(12%** by volume) to a sample of reaction products and then watching the change in ESR signal. By comparing the ESR signals obtained in these two cases, it was observed that there is a reduction in signal in going from a sample with no water to a sample that is **12%** in water. This reduction in signal may be due to the reaction:

$$
K^{\dagger}HOR^{\dagger} O_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HO_{2} + K^{\dagger} HOR^{\dagger} OH \qquad (Rxn 13)
$$

Further evidence that **this** reaction may actually be occurring was obtained by using the technique CIDNP-NMR4'. **CIDNP-NMR** can be employed for the detection of hydrogen atoms that are adjacent to a free electron. **This** technique is based on the theory that the nuclear spin states of free radicals may become populated by relaxations due to magnetic electron-nuclear interactions when the electron-spin states of the radicals **are** equally populated. In such a case the corresponding *NMR* transitions show emission (negative signal) or enhanced absorption. Figure 7a shows the *NMR* spectrum of the reaction products after PEG is reacted with potassium in the presence of oxygen. **As** seen in this figure, a negative peak appears at the chemical **shift** value of *5.625* ppm. The negative peak observed is assumed to be HO_2 ⁷ since the spectrum of a solution of pure KO_2 in 18-crown-6, in the presence of a proton donor (water), presents a negative peak with about the same **shift** (see Figure *7b).*

As discussed previously in **this** paper, the reaction of **PEG** with potassium and oxygen produces a potassium glycolate superoxide complex. **When** the potassium superoxide is allowed to react with water or acid, even at low temperatures (ice-cold water), **this** is reduced to hydrogen peroxide in the following reactions⁵⁰:

$$
2 KO2 + 2 H2O \to 2 KOH + H2O2 + O2
$$
 (Rxn 14)

$$
2\text{ KO}_2 + 2\text{ HClO}_4 \rightarrow 2\text{ KClO}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2
$$
 (Rxn 15)

Figure 7 Proton NMR spectra of (a) the products of reaction between PEG 400 and metallic potassium in the presence of oxygen. The sample was dissolved in **deuterated benzene.** A **negative** *peak* **(emission band) appears at 5.625 ppm,** (b) **a solution of KO2 in the presence of 18-crown-6 and a proton donor (water) in deuterated benzene.**

Hydrogen peroxide is an electroactive species, with an anodic half-wave potential $(E_{1/2})$ of about **0.7** V depending on the working electrode and the medium, and therefore can be easily detected using **LC-EC.** Using the **LC-EC** *system* and conditions described in the experimental section, it was indeed verified that hydrogen peroxide is produced after the **sodium** glycolate superoxide radical is allowed to react with acid or water (e.g. liquid chromatographic mobile phase). **In order** to follow the production of the sodium glycolate superoxide **fiee** radical, samples were taken at various time intervals during the reaction of **PEG 400** with sodium and oxygen, buffer **(pH** = **5.0)** was added to them, and the resulting hydrogen peroxide was monitored using **LC-EC.** This kinetic study revealed that at **as** low a temperature **as 5 1 "C** and 25 minutes after the beginning of the reaction, a signal is obtained demonstrating the presence of hydrogen peroxide. *As* the temperature increased to **55"C,** and after **40** minutes of reaction, the signal for hydrogen peroxide increased dramatically and remained **high** for **as** long **as the** temperature remained below **140°C.** At this point the signal was significantly reduced probably due to the evaporation ($b.p = 150^{\circ}C$) and/or decomposition of hydrogen peroxide³⁸.

Figure 8 Intensity of the ESR signal (peak-to-pealc height of the first derivative ESR spectrum) before and after the addition of chlorobenzene to a reaction mixture of PEG 400 and metallic potassium exposed to oxygen at 1 10°C. Even though partial dechlorination took place after the addition of hexachlorobenzene, no reduction in ESR signal was observed, suggesting that the potassium glycolate superoxide complex is not the active dechlorinating agent.

Is the potassium glycolate superoxide free radical the active dechlorinating agent?

The central question that the kinetic studies intended to answer was whether the detected free radical was the active reagent in the dechlorination reactions. This question was investigated by studying the **ESR** signal intensity of the free radical before and after the addition of chlorobenzene to the reaction mixture. **As** seen in Figure 8, no reduction in **ESR** signal was observed after the addition of substrate to the reaction mixture. This finding should not be surprising in view of the fact that no case of nucleophilic substitution of unactivated or deactivated aromatic halides by superoxide **has** ever been previously reported; the nucleophilic reactivity of superoxide has been demonstrated, however, toward other substrates such as the aliphatic and activated aromatic halides^{51,52}. In the case of aromatic halides it was shown⁵² that, whenever a reaction occurs, the halogen is replaced by **a** hydroxyl group, leading to the corresponding phenol.

Having established that the potassium glycolate superoxide free radical is not the active dechlorinating agent, it was decided to study whether KOR and/or K⁺ \cdot (HOR), two potentially powerfhl nucleophilic agents under the conditions of this reaction, are the active dechlorinating agents. The above finding also suggested that oxygen, a reagent that was considered essential for the preparation of the active reagent was not actually needed, on the contrary its presence was a liability in terms of contributing to the degradation of the active reagents, potassium glycolate and potassium glycolate free radical, to the non-reactive species, potassium glycolate superoxide radical. In order to substantiate the theory that potassium glycolate and/or potassium glycolate fiee radical are the active dechlorinating species, potassium was reacted with **PEG** 400, under nitrogen, for about three hours and well

Figure 9 Kinetics of dissolution of metallic potassium in PEG 400 in the absence of oxygen at 110°C using conductimetry. After the conductance levelled off, hexachlorobenzene was added to the reaction mixture and the conductance measured again. Samples obtained during the reaction were diluted by a factor of 231 with water. Conductance was measured using a Beckman Instruments Conductivity bridge.

past the point at which potassium was still visible in the reaction mixture. During the reaction, kinetic samples were collected and their conductance measured after dissolving them in water. As shown in Figure 9, an increase in conductance is observed in the beginning of the reaction and for about as long as potassium is seen floating on the reaction mixture, as would be expected by Rxns 3 and 7 (production of potassium glycolate molecule and free radical). Potassium glycolate is expected to hydrolyze in water in the following reaction:

 $KOR + H_2O \rightarrow KOH + HOR$ (Rxn 16)

Therefore, the measured conductance is essentially that due to potassium hydroxide. Once the conductance of the solution levelled off, hexachlorobenzene was introduced to the reaction mixture and kinetic samples were collected at various time intervals. Figure 9 shows very clearly a gradual reduction in the conductance of the potassium glycolate solution after the addition of hexachlorobenzene, suggesting that **this** reagent might be the active dechlorinating agent; this was further confirmed by chloride analysis and a reaction yield of **70.3%** after three hours of reaction. In order to achieve reaction yields higher than 70% it was found necessary to add fresh potassium **and** PEG **400** to the reaction mixture every few minutes during the reaction. This may be explained in terms of the findings of Matsulevich and Sokolov⁴² who found that the rate of oxidation of alkali metals in diglymes in the presence of a catalyst is directly proportional to the magnitude of the surface area of the metal and the initial concentration ofthe catalyst (in this case the catalyst is PEG **400).** It is also possible that a crust of potassium hydride forms on the surface of the metal thus inhibiting further reaction. Such a reaction is **known** to occur when hydrogen is passed over molten alkali metals at high temperatures⁵⁰.

Is a benzyne (elimination-addition) mechanism possible?

Given the high nucleophilicity of the glycolate ions, one possible mechanism that had to be considered was the benzyne (or elimination-addition) mechanism. It is well-established in the literature of organic chemistry that in the absence of electron-withdrawing groups to activate the ring, substitution can be made to take place by use of very strong bases. When **this** is done, however, substitution does not take place by the bimolecular mechanism, but by an entirely different mechanism, the benzyne (or elimination-addition) mechanism⁵³. The elimination stage involves the abstraction by the nucleophile of a hydrogen ion adjacent to the halogen substituent of the benzene ring. Thus, it was assumed that if the dechlorination reaction under examination worked with a chlorinated substrate without any hydrogen substituents, the benzyne mechanism could be excluded **as** a possibility. The substrate used to test this hypothesis was hexachlorobenzene. As seen in Table 2, hexachlorobenzene can be easily dechlorinated by the glycolate ion thus disproving the possibility of an elimination-addition mechanism.

It should be noted here that the dechlorination reaction yields reported in Table 2 for a number of activated (chlorinated) monoaromatic compounds appear to be generally in line with a bimolecular nucleophilic substitution mechanism.^{$53-56$} Chlorine substituents are **known** to activate the ring to nucleophilic substitution by stabilizing the carbanion intermediate, postulated in this mechanistic scheme, through the withdrawal of electrons from it. In accordance with **this** mechanism, chlorobenzene presents the lowest dechlorination yield because the ring is completely deactivated while **1,2-** and 1,4- dichlorobenzenes present higher yields since **ortho** and para chlorine substituents are **known** to activate the ring. The yields are even higher in the case of 1,2,4-, 1,2,3,4-, 1,2,4,5 since each one of these compounds has two ortho and/or para chlorine substituents, whereas hexachlorobenzene presents the highest reaction yield of all chlorinated aromatic compounds probably because it possesses three **ortho** and para substituents. Meta substituens are **known** not to have any effect on reactivity. The fact, therefore, that 1,3,5 trichlorobenzene is dechlorinated at all and/or presents a yield that is higher than that of chlorobenzene is likely to be due to stereochemical and/or other reasons. Moreover, the fact that hexachlorocyclohexane presents the highest dechlorination reaction yield is also in line with the bimolecular nucleophilic reaction mechanism since alkyl halides are characterized by higher reactivities toward nucleophilic reagents like **OK as** compared to aryl halides.

Other products of the dechlorination reaction

So far we have described the dechlorination reaction on the basis of the chloride yields obtained. In order to further substantiate the suggested mechanism, phenols, the other "supposed"²⁷ product of the reaction, were sought. In the light of the suggested mechanism, no phenol would be expected to be produced from the reaction of chlorinated organics with

Figure **10** GCECD of reaction products. **(a) standard** (b) **solvent (c)** sample. Conditions: column: *6* **fl x** 0.25 inch, **Figure 10** GCECD of reaction products. (a) standard (b) solvent (c) sample. Conditions: column: 6 ft × 0.25 inch, 3 % OV101 (glass) on Chromosorb W (H/P) 80/150 mesh; carrier gas: nitrogen; flow rate: 60 ml/min; injection temperature: 270°C; ECD temperature: 300°C; column temperature: 185°C; injection volume: 2 µl; sensitivity: 10^{-2} range.

potassium glycolate; ethers are obtained instead. The ferric chloride test for phenols was first applied to the reaction products and was found to be negative. Since, however, the ferric chloride test does not always give **a** positive test in the presence of certain phenols, it was decided to employ an additional test for confirmation purposes. Indeed, by using LC-EC no phenol was ever detected in any of the reactions attempted with the various substrates (see experimental section). In addition, no phenolic compounds were detected when samples were examined using mass spectrometry in the chemical ionization mode.

Another source of information concerning the proposed mechanism was provided by GC-ECD. This method was used to trace the fate of the chlorinated compounds themselves, during the reaction. The initial intention had been to do a kinetic study of the reaction based on the disappearance of the chlorinated compound. Figure 10a shows the gas chromatogram for hexachlorobenzene for a previously optimized **set** of conditions **(see** experimental section). The peak obtained represents a $2-\mu l$ injection of a standard 10 ng/ml hexachlorobenzene solution in hexane $(t_k= 2.95$ min). Figure 10b shows the chromatogram obtained when 2p1 of solvent (cyclohexane) were injected into the **GC** (no signal is observed) while Figure 10c presents the chromatogram for a cyclohexane extract of a sample taken after three minutes of reaction time; no signal appears in **this** chromatogram either, indicating the complete absence of any hexachlorobenzene **as** quickly **as** three minutes after the

238 M. PALEOLOGOU *ef al.*

beginning of the reaction. In order to verify that the absence of a signal is not due to a low extraction efficiency, a sample of hexachlorobenzene was carried through the same dilution and extraction steps (see experimental section) **as** a reaction sample. The extraction efficiency, however, was found to be close to 100%. Attempts at detecting hexachlorobenzene at higher detector sensitivities **as** well **as** spiking of the reaction samples were not successful at demonstrating the presence of any amounts of **this** substrate. This evidence suggested that at least one glycolate molecule attacks the hexachlorobenzene virtually instantaneously, converting it into the non-hexane extractable PEG phenolic ether (even if some ether is extracted it wouldn't be volatile enough to be detected when using GC-ECD). The nucleophilic substitution reaction appears to continue at a slower rate **as** a result of ring deactivation and steric factors.

Other analogous dechlorination reactions

By considering the evidence presented **so** far **on** the mechanism of the dechlorination reaction under examination, it was thought that it may not actually be necessary to use alkali metal for the preparation of the active reagent, alkali metal hydroxide should suffice. In other words, assuming that potassium hydroxide is used instead of potassium, the following reaction is expected to take place:

$$
HOR + KOH \rightarrow KOR + H2O
$$
 (Rxn 17)

As **seen** above, Rxn 17 produces the dechlorinating reagent, potassium glycolate as is the case with Rxn 3. When this reagent was reacted with hexachlorobenzene, a reaction yield of **23.5%** was obtained. The relatively low yields may be due to the production of water in Rxn 17 which, **as** was previously shown, **has** a negative effect **on** reaction yield.

In addition, it **was** thought that it may be possible to dechlorinate chlorinated aromatic compounds by using PEG dimethyl ether **as** the solvent **and** KOH **as** the nucleophilic agent. It was assumed that, in **this** case, the reagent preparation reaction would be:

 $CH₃OR + KOH \rightarrow K⁺CH₃OR₁OH$ (Rxn 18) (solvent separated ion pair) where $R = (CH₂CH₂O)_n CH₃$

This reaction was also successful, with a yield of 18.4%. The following dechlorinating reaction is assumed to have taken place:

$$
K+CH3OR+OH + ArCl \rightarrow ArOH + KCl + CH3OR
$$
 (Rxn 19)

The relatively low yield may be due to the low solubility of potassium hydroxide in tetraethylene glycol dimethyl ether and the relatively small molecular weight of the latter. The fact that Rxn 19 does produce a dechlorinating agent is significant **from** a mechanistic point of view. It demonstrates the significance of a crown-ether type of structure **(see** Figure 1) in terms of orienting and positioning the substrate to be dechlorinated. **This** reaction is

also significant in terms of substituting chlorine substituents with hydroxyl groups; this would allow the direct detection of the dechlorinated species using LCEC.

Another reaction that was thought possible was the reaction of potassium superoxide with PEG (Rxns 9 and 10), followed by the dechlorination of chlorobenzene using the products of Rxn 10:

Rxn 10:

\n
$$
KO_{2} + HOR \rightarrow K^{+} HOR \bar{\bullet} O_{2}
$$
\n
$$
K^{+} HOR \bar{\bullet} O_{2} \rightarrow KOR + HO_{2}
$$
\n
$$
(Rxn 10)
$$
\n
$$
KOR + ArcI \rightarrow AroR + KCI
$$
\n
$$
(Rxn 10)
$$
\n
$$
(Rxn 4)
$$

Indeed, when this reaction was attempted, a dechlorination reaction yield of 36% was obtained. Since superoxide is not known to react with unactivated chlorinated aromatics, the nucleophilic reagent is likely to be potassium glycolate formed as shown in Rxns 9 and 10 and participating in dechlorination **as** suggested in Rxn **4.**

CONCLUSIONS: REACTION MECHANISM AND YIELD OPTIMIZATION

In light of all the evidence presented to this point relating to the dechlorination reaction initially developed by Pytlewski *et al.*^{27,28}, a number of inferences can be made relating to the mechanism of this reaction. In the absence of oxygen, the following reactions appear to take place when PEGS are reacted with alkali metals at temperatures over the melting point of these metals:

$$
K + HOR \rightarrow KOR + \frac{1}{2}H_2 + heat
$$
 (Rxn 3)

$$
K + HOR \to K^+ \bar{\bullet} (HO-R) (yellow brown)
$$
 (Rxn 7)

The evidence suggests that both reagents I and I1 are involved in the dechlorination of activated or non-activated aromatic compounds (e.g. chlorobenzene) through nucleophilic substitution reactions **as** shown below:

$$
n KOR + ArCln \rightarrow Ar(OR)n + n KCl
$$
 (Rxn 4)

$$
n K^{+} \tilde{\bullet} (HO-R) + ArCl_n \to Ar(OR)_n + n/2 H_2 + n KCl
$$
 (Rxn 17)

The success of this reaction, as compared to other nucleophilic substitution reactions may be ascribed to the following:

- 1. Both the active reagent and the chlorinated substrate are in the same phase.
- 2. The concentration of the active reagent is very high since the solvent itself is the active reagent for the reaction.

M. PALEOLOGOU *ef al.*

- The very structure of the alkali metal glycolate itself (see Figure 1) may be enhancing reactivity due to stereochemical considerations. Such a **structure** is likely to be able to align aromatic compounds parallel to the plane of the crown-ether complex and thereby **better** position them for nucleophilic attack. Theoretical considerations⁵⁴ indicate that a reagent entering into aromatic substitution should approach from a direction lateral to the plane of the ring. **3.**
- The structure shown in Figure 1 is not only likely to be stabilized by crown-ether oxygens but also by internal ion-pairing. It has been shown in the literature that in such cases the effect of the metal ion could play an important role in such phenomena **as** binding of the substrate and altering the nature of the anion. **A** structure such as the one proposed above may also explain why the molecular weight of the **PEG** used for **this** reaction should not be very low since not enough oxygens would be available to complex with the **alkali** metal ion. **4.**
- 5. The displaced group (Cl⁻) has much greater anionic stability than the entering $\text{group}(\text{OR})^{53, 52}$

The initial objective of **this** work **has** been to evaluate the pytlewski dechlorination reaction **as** an analytically useful derivatization reaction. As was shown in this paper, a number of major and minor discrepancies exist with respect to the results initially reported by Pytlewski et al.^{27,28}. After examining this reaction in terms of its reactants and products, stoichiometry, mechanism and reaction yields, experimental conditions were determined under which it can indeed qualify **as** an analytically useful derivatization reaction. The dechlorination reaction under examination was found to work best under the following conditions:

- 1. in the absence of oxygen,
- 2. when potassium is used instead of sodium,
- 3. for molecular weights of **PEG** that are higher than 200 in the case of sodium and **250** in the case of potassium,
- **4.** at temperatures above the melting point of **the** alkali metals, preferably over 100°C,
- *5.* at a stoichiometric excess of alkali metal over **PEG,**
- 6. when **fresh** alkali metal and **PEG are** added to the reaction mixture over the cowe of the reaction,
- 7. in the absence of water,
- **8.** when a large stoichiometric excess of reagents **as** compared to substrate is present.

The developed reaction scheme, does indeed meet most criteria for an analytically useful derivatization reaction since, under the optimized conditions reported above, it can achieve high and reproducible yields, with analytical quantities of substrate and in short periods of time. In addition, **this** reaction is simple, safe and **does** not involve the use of any toxic and/or expensive reagents. Since, however, this approach, like any other **TOX** technique, is neither specific to **PCBs** over any other category of halogenated compounds nor congener-specific, its applicability can only be of a limited scope. The application of this derivatization approach to the development of a screening technique for the detemination of **PCBs** in waste oils is the subject of the subsequent paper in this issue. Such a screening technique would be useful in field testing for the purpose of reducing the number of samples requiring more sophisticated and time-consuming analysis at a subsequent stage.

Acknowledgements

The authors **are** indebted to the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada for financial **support of this** work.

References

- I. T. Cairns and **E.G.** Siegmund, *Anal. Chem.,* 53,1183A-1193A (1981).
- 2. L.D. Sawyer, *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.*, 61, 282-291 (1978).
- 3. J.A. **Armour,** *J. Assoc. Anal.* Chem., 56,987-993 **(1** 973).
- 4. K. Takamiya, *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 30,600-605* (1983).
- *5.* J. Ming **Lm** and S.S. **Que** Hee, *And. Chem.,* 57,2130-2134 (1985).
- 6. C.J. Thomson, H.J. Coleman, RL. Hopkins, C.C. Ward and H.T. **Rall,** *Anal. Chem.,* 32,1762-1765 (1960).
- 7. R.I. Asai, F.A. Gunther, W.E. Westlake and *Y. Iwata, J. Agr. Food Chem.*, 19, 396–398 (1971).
8. B. Zimmerli, *J. Chromatogr.*, 88, 65–75 (1974).
- 8. B. Zimmerli, *J.* Chromatogr., 88.65-75 (1974).
- 9. H.L. **Crist,** RL. Harless, R.F. Moseman and M.H. Callis, Bull. *Environ.* Contam. *Toxicol.,* 24,231-237 (1980).
- 10. M. Cooke, G. Nickless and DJ. Roberts, *J.* Chromatogr., 187,47-55 (1980).
- 11. M. Cooke and D.J. Roberts, *J. Chromatogr.*, 193, 437-443 (1980).
- 12. *G.* Seidl and K. Ballschmiter, *Fresenius Z. Anal. Chem.,* 296, 281-284 (1979).
- 13. A. **De** Kok, R.B. **Geerdink,** R.W. Frei and U.A.Th. Brinkman, *Intern. J. Environ. Anal.* Chem., 9,301-318 (1981).
- 14. L.P. MacDonald, D.J. Skinner, F.J. Hompton and G.H. Thomas, *Fisheries and Environment Canada Report EPS4-WP-77-2,* March 1977.
- 15. J.G. Greenberg, US. Pat. No. 3,642,583 (1972).
- 16. M.E. Brockway and P.N. Cheremisinoff, *Plant Engineering,* 171-173 (May 1978).
- 17. J.G. Trump, E.W. Memll, **A.J.** Sinskey, K.A. Wright, T.G. Metcalf and D.N. **Shah,** The Eighth National Conference **on** Municipal Sludge Management, Miami, Florida, 1979.
- 18. J.A. Kitchens, U.S. Pat. No. 4,144,152 (1979).
- 19. S.S. Miller, *Environ. Sci. Technol.,* 8,18-19(1974).
- 20. L. Wong, *Symposium on the Chemistry of Chlorinated Dibenzodioxins* (American Chemical Society National Meeting, Washington, D.C., 1979).
- 21. S.K. Boyer, J. McKenna, J. Karliner and M. Nirsberger, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 26,3677-3680 (1985).
- 22. S.T. Lin and J.A. Roth, *J. Org. Chem.*, 44, 309-310 (1979).
- 23. D.K. Parker and W.L. Cox, *Plant Engineering*, 133-134 (August 1980).
- 24. J.G. Smith and B. Bubber, *Canadian Elecm'cal Association Report,* 78-99 (1979).
- 25. A.D. Mitchell, *Proceedings of the Hazardous Waste Management Seminar* (Chemical Institute of Canada, Toronto, Feb. 1982).
- 26. K. Chen, *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, PAS-102(12), 3893-3898 (1983).
- 27. L.L. Pytlewski, K. Krevitz, A.B. Smith, E.J. Thorne and F.J. Iaconianni, Proc. 6th Ann. Res. Symp. on *Treatment OfHazardous Waste* (Chicago, Sept. 1980).
- 28. L.L. Pytlewski, K. Krevitz and A.B. Smith, U.S. Pat. No. 4,337,368 (1982).
- 29. J.F. Lawrence, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.,* 17,113-1 14 (1979).
- 30. A. Bevenue, T.W. Kelley and J.W. Hylin, *J. Chromatogr.,* S4,71-76 (1971).
- 31. C. Beauchamp and I. Fridovich, *Anal. Biochem.*, 44, 276-287 (1971).
- 32. M. Paleologou, S. Li and W.C. Purdy, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.*, **28**, 319–323 (1990).
- 33. I.M. Kolthoff and P.K. Kuroda. *And.* Chem., 23,1306-1309 (1951).
- 34. L.L. Chan and J. Smid, J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.,* 89,4547-4549 (1967).
- 35. L.L. Chan, K.H. Wong and J. Smid,J. *Amer. Chem. Soc.,* 92, 1955-1%3 (1970).
- 36. J. Smid, *Angew.* Chem. *Internat. Edit.,* 11, 112-126 (1972).
- 37. W.H.T. Davis0n.J. Chem. *Soc.,* 3270-3275 (1955).
- 38. Z.M. Galbacs, and L.J. Csanyi,J. *Chem.* **Soc.** *Dalton Trans.,* 11,2353-2357 (1983).
- 39. P.A. Giguere, J. *Chem. Phys.,* 18,88-92 (1950).
- **40. E.G.** Brame Jr., S. Cohen, J.L. Margrave and V.W. Meloche, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.,* 4,90-92 (1957).
- 41. B. Kalyanaraman, C. Mottley and R.P. Mason, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 258, 3855-3858 (1983).

242 M. PALEOLOGOU *et al.*

- 42. G.A. Matsulevich and N.S. Sokolov, *Neorg. Perekisnye. Soedinenii. Doklady Vses. Soveshch.* 95, 1973 (Chem. Abs., 1975,83,212027); translated **from:** *Zhurnal ObshcheiKhimii,* 49.3-7 (1979).
- 43. D. Behar, G. Czapski, J. **Rabani,** L.M. Dorhnan **and** H.A. Schwarz,J. *Phys. Chem.,* 74,3209-3213 (1970).
- **44. S.** Kim, **R** Dicosimo and J. *San* Filippo, *Anal. Chem.,* 51,679-681 (1979).
- 45. J.A. Dean, (Ed.), Lange's Handbook of Chemistry (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979) 12th ed., Chap. 5, p. 15.
46. M.S. Morgan, P.F. Van Trieste, S.M. Garlick, M.J. Mahon and A.L. Smith, Anal. Chim. Acta, 215, 325–329
- *46.* M.S. Morgan, P.F. Van Trieste, S.M. Garlick, M.J. Mahon and A.L. **Smith,** *Anal. Chim. Acta,* 215,325-329 (1988).
- 47. A.W. Petrocelli and D.L. Kraus, *J. Chem. Educ.*, **40**, 146-149 (1963).
- 48. M.C.R. Symons, *Electron Spin Resonance* (Ayscough, P.B., Ed., *Specialist Periodical Reports*, The Chemical Society, London, 1984) vol. 9, Chap. 3, **pp.** 87-138.
- 49. G.L. Closs, R.J. Miller and O.D. Redwine, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 18, 196–202 (1985).
50. R.B. Heslop and K. Jones, *Inorganic Chemistry*, *A Guide to Advanced Study* (Els
- 50. R.B. **Heslop** and K. Jones, *Inorganic Chemistry, A Guide to Adwnced study* **(Elsevier** Scientific **Publishing** Company, **Amsterdam,** 1976) *Chap.* 24, **pp.** 494-503.
- 51. M.J. Gibian, D.T. Sawyer, T. Ungermann, R. Tangpoonpholvivat and M.M. Morrison, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 101, 640-644 (1979).
- 52. F. **Magno,** G. Bontempelli and M.M. Andreuzzi Sedea, *J. Elechoanal. Chem., 97,85-90* (1979).
- 53. J.F. Bunnett, *Quarterly Reviews*, 1, 1-16 (1958).
- *54.* J.F. Bunnett audR.E. **Zahler,** *Chem. Rev.,* 49,273412 (1951).
- 55. L. Miller, Rev. Pure *Appl. Chem.,* 1,171-185 (1951).
- *56.* C.K. Ingold. *Structure and Mechanism in Organic Chemistry* (Comell University Press, **Ithaca,** New **York,** 1953) Chap. 15, **pp.** 797-815.